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Magnetism and periodic potential

cyclotron motion

\[ \ell_m = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{qB}} \]

motion on a square lattice

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{a^2}{\ell_m^2} &= \frac{qBa^2}{\hbar} = 2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \\
\Phi &= Ba^2 \quad : \text{flux across a cell} \\
\Phi_0 &= \frac{\hbar}{q} \quad : \text{flux quantum}
\end{align*} \]

= Aharonov-Bohm phase along the contour of a cell
Relevant values for Aharonov-Bohm phase $2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$

In a regular solid:

$$B \sim 100 \text{ T} \quad a \sim 1 \text{ Å} = 10^{-10} \text{ m}$$

$$\Phi = B a^2 \sim 10^{-18} \text{ Wb}$$

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{q} \approx 4 \times 10^{-15} \text{ Wb}$$

$$\rightarrow 2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \sim 10^{-3}$$

No drastically new effect expected in this “weak field” regime

If the flux $B a^2$ becomes much larger thanks to synthetic materials or artificial gauge fields, frustration may play a dominant role.
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2D tight-binding model

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_x + \hat{H}_y \quad : \text{separable} \]

\[ \hat{H} = -J \sum_{j,l} (|w_{j+1,l}\rangle\langle w_{j,l}| + |w_{j,l+1}\rangle\langle w_{j,l}|) + \text{h.c.} \]

2D Bloch waves

\[ q = (q_x, q_y) \quad |\psi_q\rangle = \sum_{j,l} e^{ia(q_xj + q_yl)} |w_{j,l}\rangle \]

\[ E(q) = -2J (\cos(aq_x) + \cos(aq_y)) \]

Brillouin zone: \( q_j \in ] -\pi/a, \pi/a ] \)
Lattice in the presence of a magnetic field

Aharonov-Bohm phase associated to the link $r \rightarrow r'$

\[
\phi(r \rightarrow r') = \frac{q}{\hbar} \int_{r}^{r'} A \cdot dr
\]

Peierls substitution in the tight-binding regime:
Each tunnel term of the Hamiltonian gets the corresponding phase

\[
-J |w_{j+1,l}\rangle \langle w_{j,l}| \quad \rightarrow \quad -J e^{i\phi(j,l \rightarrow j+1,l)} |w_{j+1,l}\rangle \langle w_{j,l}|
\]
Uniform field and Landau gauge for a square lattice

On a given lattice cell, the only relevant physical quantity (gauge invariant) is $e^{i\Theta}$, where $\Theta$ is the sum of the phase of the tunnel coefficients around the cell.

Choose a zero-phase for all vertical links: discrete version of $A = (-By, 0, 0)$

Phase along a cell: $2\pi \alpha$ with $\alpha = \Phi/\Phi_0$

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta &= 2\pi \alpha l + 0 - 2\pi \alpha (l - 1) + 0 \\
&= 2\pi \alpha
\end{align*}
\]

Here:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi &= B\alpha^2 & \text{: flux across a cell} \\
\Phi_0 &= h/q & \text{: flux quantum}
\end{align*}
\]
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General formulation of the problem

We look for the spectrum of the Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H} = -J \sum_{j,l} e^{i2\pi \alpha l} |w_{j+1,l}\rangle\langle w_{j,l}| + |w_{j,l+1}\rangle\langle w_{j,l}| + \text{h.c.} \]

We keep the periodicity along \( x \), but loose the periodicity along \( y \)

We look for the eigenstates as Bloch functions for \( x \)

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{j,l} C_l e^{iqa_j x} |w_{j,l}\rangle \]

Recursion equation (Harper) for the coefficients \( C_l \)

Important particular case when the coefficient \( \alpha \) is rational, \( \alpha = p'/p \)

One then recovers periodicity along \( y \), but with the period \( pa \) instead of \( a \).

\[ e^{i2\pi \alpha l} = e^{i2\pi \alpha (l+p)} \]
Example for the flux $\alpha = 1/3$

Unit cell with 3 sites $|A\rangle, |B\rangle, |C\rangle$ of size $a \times 3a$

Look for eigenstates as Bloch functions:

$$|\Psi_q\rangle = \sum_{j,l} e^{ia(jq_x + 3lj_y)} (\alpha |A_{j,l}\rangle + \beta |B_{j,l}\rangle + \gamma |C_{j,l}\rangle)$$

$$\hat{H}|\Psi_q\rangle = E(q) |\Psi_q\rangle \quad \text{3 x 3 matrix to diagonalize for each } q$$

The band gets fragmented in three sub-bands
General shape of the spectrum

Hofstadter, 1976

Fractal structure, self-similarity

Dirac points for $\alpha = 1/2, 1/4, \ldots$
Landau levels recovered

Hofstadter, 1976

Very narrow equidistant levels

\[ E_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c \]

with \( \omega_c = qB/M_{\text{eff}} \)

For the square lattice: \( M_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2Ja^2} \)
Hall conductance of a filled band (fermions)

Consider for example an electron gas with a chemical potential
\[ \frac{\hbar \omega_c}{2} < \mu < \frac{3\hbar \omega_c}{2} \]

Transverse current:
\[ \dot{N}_y = \frac{1}{\hbar} \Delta E_x \]

Generalization to insulator-type filling of any band structure:
\[ \dot{N}_y = \frac{C}{\hbar} \Delta E_x \]

where \( C \) is an integer (Chern number) [Thouless et al, 1982]

What does one get for the lowest band of the Hofstadter butterfly?
\[ \alpha = 1/p \quad \Rightarrow \quad C = 1 \quad \text{“topologically equivalent to LLL”} \]
How to calculate the Chern number?

Suppose that only the lowest band is filled

Bloch functions \( \psi_q(r) = e^{i q \cdot r} u_q(r) \) or \( |u_q⟩ \): periodic part

Thouless et al (1982): linear response theory (Kubo formula) to calculate the current \( J_y \) for a given \( \Delta E_x \)

Berry connection in quasi-momentum space: \( A(q) = i \langle u_q | \nabla_q u_q \rangle \)

Associated Berry curvature: \( B(q) = \nabla_q \times A(q) \)

\[
C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{BZ} B_z(q) \, d^2q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint A(q) \cdot dq
\]

*Integer number: robust property that can be changed only with a contact between two bands*

In cold atom physics, the Berry curvature \( B(q) \) can be measured locally thanks to the Bloch oscillation technique
Physical interpretation of the Chern number

\[ \dot{N}_y = C \Delta E_x / h \]

Apply a force \( F \) associated with the energy difference \( \Delta E_x = FL_x \)

Bloch oscillations along \( x \) with the period \( \tau_B = \frac{h}{Fa} \)

During one Bloch period, how many particles do cross a segment of length \( a \) ?

\[ \delta N = a J_y \tau_B \]
\[ = a \frac{1}{L_x} \left( \frac{C}{h} \Delta E_x \right) \left( \frac{h}{Fa} \right) \]
\[ = C \quad \text{Chern number} \]
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4. Lattices combining several internal states
Goal of this approach

Lattice modulated in time

One wants to use the different parameters of the modulation
- amplitude
- frequency
- phase

to engineer the tunnel matrix elements \( J \rightarrow J e^{i\theta} \)

Systematic approaches to this type of problem:
- Floquet formalism
- Effective Hamiltonian: Rahav et al (2003); Goldman & Dalibard, arXiv 1404.4373

Here, we will use a simple treatment based on a (non-rigorous) time-average of the Schrödinger equation
### Modulated 1D lattice

\[ V(x, t) = V[x - x_0(t)] \]

Unitary transform \( \hat{U}(t) = \exp(\text{i}x_0(t)\hat{p} / \hbar) \) that allows one to go from

\[ \hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + V[x - x_0(t)] \quad \text{to} \quad \hat{H}(t) = \frac{[\hat{p} - A(t)]^2}{2M} + V(x) \quad \text{with} \quad A(t) = M\dot{x}_0(t) \]

**Tight-binding approach:**

\[ \hat{H}(t) = -J \ e^{iM\dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar} \sum_{j} |w_{j+1}\rangle\langle w_{j}| + \text{h.c.} \]

The Schrödinger equation for the state vector \( |\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j}(t) |w_{j}\rangle \) leads to

\[ i\hbar \dot{\alpha}_{j} = -J \left( \alpha_{j+1} e^{-iM\dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar} + \alpha_{j-1} e^{+iM\dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar} \right) \]

Two time scales: fast motion \( \dot{x}_0(t) \), slow motion \( J/\hbar \). A temporal average then gives:

\[ i\hbar \dot{\alpha}_{j} = -J^{*} \alpha_{j+1} - J \alpha_{j-1} \]

**Average matrix element:** \( \bar{J} = J \langle e^{iM\dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar} \rangle \)
Changing the amplitude of the tunnel coefficient

\[ \bar{J} = J \langle e^{iM\alpha x_0(t)/\hbar} \rangle \]

Eckardt, Weiss, Holthaus (2005)

Experiment in Arimondo’s group (Pisa, 2007) : sine modulation

\[ \frac{Ma}{\hbar} \dot{x}_0(t) = \xi_0 \sin(\Omega t + \phi) \]

\[ \bar{J} = J \langle e^{i \xi_0 \sin(\Omega t + \phi)} \rangle = J J_0(\xi_0) \]

Bessel function

| \bar{J} | / J

Allows one to change the sign of the tunnel coefficient, but not its phase
Changing the phase of the coefficient tunnel (version 1)

\[
\bar{J} = J \langle e^{iMa\hat{x}_0(t)/\hbar} \rangle
\]

Take a non-symmetric temporal modulation

\[
\frac{\bar{J}}{J} = \frac{T_1}{T} e^{iMav_1/\hbar} + \frac{T_2}{T} e^{iMav_2/\hbar}
\]

\[
v_1 T_1 + v_2 T_2 = 0
\]

Equivalent of a constant vector potential: shift of the minimum of the dispersion relation that can be measured in a time of flight experiment

Struck et al, Hamburg 2012
Changing the phase of the tunnel coefficient (version 2)

Back to a sine modulation
\[
\frac{Ma}{\hbar} \dot{x}_0(t) = \xi_0 \sin(\Omega t + \phi)
\]

Try to print the phase $\phi$ of the modulation on the tunnel coefficient

• Until now we saw $\bar{J} = J \mathcal{J}_0(\xi_0)$, which does not work.

• But one can also produce $\bar{J} = J \mathcal{J}_1(\xi_0) e^{i\phi}$ using a resonance!

**Step one:** superimpose a uniform force $F$ to the lattice.

Energy offset of two adjacent sites $\hbar\Omega_0$

**Step two:** modulate at $\Omega \sim \Omega_0$

Kolovsky (2011)
Use a resonance (continued)

Look for the state vector as

\[ |\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j(t) e^{i j \Omega_0 t} |w_j\rangle \]

Schrödinger equation

\[ i\hbar \dot{\alpha}_j = - J \left( \alpha_{j+1} e^{-i (M \dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar - \Omega_0 t)} + \alpha_{j-1} e^{i (M \dot{x}_0(t)/\hbar - \Omega_0 t)} \right) \]

For \( \frac{M a}{\hbar} \dot{x}_0(t) = \xi_0 \sin(\Omega t + \phi) \) and \( \Omega = \Omega_0 \), the average tunnel coefficient is:

\[ \bar{J} = J \langle e^{i[\xi_0 \sin(\Omega t + \phi) - \Omega_0 t]} \rangle = J \left\langle \sum_n J_n(\xi_0) e^{in(\Omega t + \phi)} e^{-i\Omega_0 t} \right\rangle \]

\[ \bar{J} = J J_1(\xi_0) e^{i\phi} \]

OK for this 1D model (mere gauge transform)
Going to two dimensions

Non-symmetric modulation

Can be used for a triangular lattice (does not work if the sides of the unit cell are parallel)

Staggered flux

Hamburg 2013

Resonant modulation

Can be adapted to a square lattice to produce a uniform flux, with some subtleties...

Munich 2013, MIT 2013